### INTERFERENCE DIGEST

Interference No. 105,115	Paper No. 21
Name: Ernst Janzen et al.	
Serial No.: 08/399,535	Patent No.
Title: Device and method for sealing puncture wounds	
Filed: 03/07/95	
Interference with Lee	
DECISION ON MOTIONS	
Administrative Patent Judge,	_Dated,
	·
FINAL DECISION  Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, Lower Dated, 2-25.04	
Court,	_Dated,
REMARKS	
·	

This should be placed in each application or patent involved in interference in addition to the interference letters.

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Filed by: Trial Section Merits Panel Mail Stop INTERFERENCE United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Tel: 703-308-9797 Fax: 703-305-0942

Paper No. 22

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

BENJAMIN I. LEE

**FAXED** 

Junior Party, (Patent 5,292,332)<sup>1</sup>

FEB 2 5 2004

v.

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

## ERNST JANZEN, GUNTER RUTTGERS and LAWRENCE SAPER

Senior Party (Application 08/399,535)<sup>2</sup>

Patent Interference No. 105,115

Before LEE, LANE and MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judges.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

#### JUDGMENT - RULE 640

Based on Application 07/918,614, filed July 27, 1992. The real party in interest is Datascope Investment Corp. Paper No. 31.

Filed on March 7, 1995. Accorded the benefit of Application 08/318,380, filed October 5, 1994, Application 07/746,339, filed August 16, 1991, and Application 07/634,478, filed December 27, 1990. The real party in interest is Datascope Investment Corp. Paper No. 8.

Interference No. 105,115 Lee v. Janzen

On February 2, 2004, an order was issued for junior party Lee to show cause why judgment should not be entered against the junior party for failing to file a preliminary statement. Paper No. 30. The junior party has not filed a response. Accordingly, it is now appropriate to enter judgment. It is

**ORDERED** that judgment as to the subject matter of Count 1 shall be entered against junior party BENJAMIN I. LEE;

**FURTHER ORDERED** that junior party BENJAMIN I. LEE is not entitled to its patent claims 1, 11-13, 16-18, 20, 37 and 38 which correspond to Count 1;

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties should note the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 CFR § 1.666; and

**FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this judgment be placed in the respective involved application or patent of the parties.

Jameson Lee

Administrative Patent Judge

Sally Gardner Lane

Administrative Patent Judge

**BOARD OF PATENT** 

**APPEALS** 

AND

**INTERFERENCES** 

Sally C. Medley

Administrative Patent Judge

Interference No. 105,115 Lee v. Janzen

By Facsimile:

Attorney for junior party Lee:

703-905-2500 (Fax) Bryan P. Collins, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop LLP 1600 Tysons Boulevard McLean, Va 22101

Attorney for senior party Janzen:

908-654-7866 (Fax) Arnold H. Krumholz, Esq. Lerner, David, Littenberg, Krumholz & Mentlik, LLP 600 South Avenue West Westfield, New Jersey 07090